Survey Questions PRP Oversight Reform Evaluation

Introduction

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) records indicate that you are doing work under a Superfund settlement agreement that provides for payment of the oversight costs incurred by EPA. Under the PRP Oversight Reform, EPA seeks to engage in open dialogues with PRPs as a means to promote appropriate oversight. As part of the reform, EPA seeks to ensure the development and implementation of protective cleanups; to give careful consideration to the associated costs being charged to PRPs; and to maximize EPA recovery of oversight costs. EPA is committed to informing PRPs of EPA's oversight expectations, providing them with opportunities to suggest ways to improve or streamline oversight, and engaging them in open and meaningful dialogue on oversight efforts to achieve timely and protective cleanups.

This survey is intended to help EPA better understand how its PRP Oversight Reform is perceived by parties involved in Superfund cleanups. The purpose of the survey is to solicit feedback from you or your representative on how the PRP Oversight Reform has affected your experience as a party doing work subject to EPA oversight. If other parties have been involved in discussions of oversight issues at the site, please feel free to coordinate responses to the survey with them. The information you provide will be used only to help improve EPA's policies and services. Participation is completely voluntary. The survey will take approximately 45 minutes to complete.

While we plan to conduct the survey over the telephone, we recognize that it may be more convenient for some respondents to submit a written survey. If you would like to submit a written survey, please fill out this form and return it directly to our survey contractor in the enclosed postage-paid envelope. When the contractor receives your survey in the mail, your name will be removed from their call list and you will not be contacted with regard to a telephone survey, unless one of your written answers requires clarification.

relate to EPA oversight activitie	Superfund site located ines of Remedial Design/Remedial Action. District Court on xx/xx/xxxx)	-
Name of Survey Respondent		
_	SCleanup, Inc." or "Attorney/Smith, Joree)."	
Name of Primary EPA Contac	ct with respect to this site:	

Knowledge of the PRP Oversight Reform

1.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Comn	nunication	Rega	arding O	versigh	t Expect	tations					
oversig 2000 (ght proces	ss by ber 1	offering 999 thro	to mee	t with Paptember	RPs to d r 2000).	iscuss o	versight	expecta	tions du	ficiency of the ring fiscal year tht expectations
2.	[Month indicate expect was made G Yes	h & `te th ation de co	Year]. at EPA ns in fi	[Alte A did n scal yo with yo	rnate solot offee ar 200 our recol	sentenc er to m	ee if me eet with are EPA records?	eeting in the second se	ot offe o discu ds about	ered: "! iss ove whethe	r an offer to meet
3.	G Yes					expectation		-			
4.	G Yes G No	or) th	-	t be inc	urred?		versight	costs (e	e.g., payı	roll, indi	rect, and
5.	Did EPA months G Yes G No Comme	(or a	nother a	•	•	-	rojected	contrac	tor over	sight cos	sts for the next 12

On a scale of 1 to 10, please rate your level of knowledge about the PRP Oversight Reform. 1

is the lowest level of knowledge and 10 is the highest level of knowledge.

6.	What additional information could EPA have provided to you to improve your dialogue with EPA regarding future oversight expectations?												
7.		e of 1 to 10 is not clea	_		_					ght expectati	ons at		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
8.	(or in add	ition to) th	e meetin	g descril	oed abo	ve?	-			2000 other the			
	G No												
<u>Improv</u>	ring Oversig	ght Efficier	<u>icy</u>										
The fol	llowing que	estions con	tinue to	relate to t	fiscal ye	ear 2000	only.						
9.	performin	suggestion g the work lease desc	or by E	PA, imp	lemente	ed at you	ır site?		·	he parties			
	G No												
10.	performin	suggestion g the work lease descr	or by E	PA, not	implen	nented at	t your sit	te?	·	he parties			
	G No												
11.	implemen	-	sulted o		•					roaches being costs or clear			
	_	Cost Savi	_	ne Saving	gs: G Y	G Y Tes	res G N	G 1	No				

Billing for EPA's Oversight Past Costs

The following questions relate to the EPA oversight costs that you agreed to pay under your settlement
agreement.

G No (plea	se descr	ibe how	they ar	e incon	sistent)				
When you recontact if you G Yes					-	•			-
G No									
Did the leve any later arra documentati G Yes G No (plea	angement on)?	t you ma	ade with	EPA co	oncerning	g the lev	el of det	ail in the	e bill
	.se expia								
On a scale of documentation the highest leads	on that ca	ame wit	h the mo				-	•	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1				door	nentation	concer	ning the	bill?	
Did you requ G Yes G No (if no									
Did you requ G Yes	o, please	proceed	l to Que	stion 18	3)	your re	quest fo	r further	information

18.	Taking into account the amount of work done at the site, were the oversight costs for the most recent period of performance addressed by an EPA bill: G Less than you expected													
	G About what you expected													
	v 1													
	G Greater than you expected													
19.	by an	EPA bil		reater th	nan you				-	-	rmance ad done at th			
	G EPA contractor costs													
		G EPA direct payroll costs												
	G	1 7												
	G				ts									
	G Annual allocation costs G Other (please describe)													
20. Manag	1 is th		e level of	f satisfac	-	1 10 is t	he highe	est level	of satisfa		ne most red	æni om.		
21.	the PF efficie	RP Over	sight Re	form or versight	not, ple	ease rate	the per	formanc	e of EPA	A in effe	ent, wheth ectively and of effectiv	d		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
22.	perfor under	mance o	of EPA in Oversi	n effecti	vely and	d efficie	ntly ma	naging o	versight	activities	, please ra s/costs at y) is the hig	our site		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
<u>Genera</u>	al Satisf	action a	nd Sugg	<u>estions</u>										

The following questions relate to implementation of the PRP Oversight Reform at your site throughout

the life of the reform (i.e., not only in fiscal year 2000).

What techniques for streamlining oversight activities have been implemented at your site pursuant to the PRP Oversight Reform? (If you listed a streamlining technique in respons to Question 9, which related to FY2000 only, you do not need to list the technique again here.)
Which techniques for streamlining oversight have you found effective (if any)?
Which techniques for streamlining oversight have you found ineffective (if any)?
On the whole, have you been satisfied with EPA's implementation of the PRP Oversight Reform at your site? G Yes
G No (please explain if different from above responses)
How could EPA improve implementation of the PRP Oversight Reform at your site?